The main aim of the survey was to determine the economic contribution of attendees from outside of Darwin. The ‘Encore’ methodology was used so as to match with previous event studies in the NT (incl. the Darwin V8’s) i.e. face to face interviews using the ‘Encore’ survey tool;

A local Darwin recruitment company was enlisted to recruit interviewers;

A total of 11 interviewers were recruited and attended a 3 hour training session. (Training focused on how to conduct a Computer Assisted Personal Interview (via an iPad) and ensuring a clear understanding of how to collect expenditure data);

6 Interviewers were on site on Sprint Day (Saturday 2nd August) and 10 on Cup Day (Monday 4th August);

Quotas were set to ensure a representative mix of responders based on the type of ticket they had for accessing the Turf Club using data from previous Darwin Cup events;

The number of attendees from outside of Darwin was determined through electronic ticket sales data for the two days of the Carnival;

A sample target of 330 was set to achieve a 95% confidence interval of +/-5%. 225 surveys were achieved. This was due to the recruiter not being able to recruit enough interviewers and the reduced capacity of the 3/4G network during the event on Cup Day.

The final sample represented around 10% of attendees from outside Darwin and maintained a 95% confidence interval of +/-6.23%.
The objectives of using this event evaluation toolkit are to:

- Minimise the costs and enhance consistency of research relating to small events
- Assess the amount of revenue yielded by attraction to the event
- Collect key demographics of visitors to the event
- Collect marketing and visitor satisfaction data relating to the event

The revenue yield for this Encore project was defined as that being generated outside of Darwin.
RESULTS OVERVIEW

- **Total number of interviews**: 225
- **Sprint Day (Sat) interviews**: 70
- **Cup Day (Mon) interviews**: 155
- **Avg. length of stay**: 8.1 nights
- **Avg. no. of People in travel party**: 2.0
- **Estimated size of crowd**: 22,351*
- **Estimated no. of unique visitors**: 19,000*
- **Estimated no. of non-Darwin attendees**: 2,460 (13%)
- **Average spend per person**: $2,244
- **Other non-Darwin Turf Club revenue**: $694,393
- **Non-Darwin Turf Club expenses**: $1.1MIL

*3,846 tickets sold for Sprint Day; 18,505 tickets sold for Cup Day. Approximately 19,000 unique visitors as the majority attended both Sprint Day and Cup Day.*
Estimated no. of non-Darwin attendees = 2460

Average spend per person = $2,244

Other non-Darwin Turf Club revenue = $694,393

Non-Darwin Turf Club expenses = $1.1MIL

Estimated direct Contribution* to Darwin = $5.2MIL

*Contribution refers to the contribution of monies INTO the Darwin region from EXTERNAL SOURCES. It does not include revenue generated from local Darwin sources.
TOTAL CONTRIBUTION

Estimated direct contribution to Darwin = $5.2MIL

Estimated indirect* contribution to Darwin = $5.2MIL

Estimated total contribution to Darwin = $10.4MIL

*Indirect contribution is determined from the Tourism Income multiplier for the NT as outlined in the ABS State Tourism Satellite Accounts 2012-13. This multiplier equals 2.0. More information can be found [here](#). Again, this refers to monies into the Darwin region from external sources. 'Indirect' contribution is spend that is resultant from tourism but not directly spent by the tourist.
The vast majority of non-Darwin attendees were from interstate. A small number were either international visitors or fellow Territorians (3% each). The highest proportion of interstate visitors were from Victoria (32%). NSW was second but with 12p.p. fewer visitors (20%).
AGE, GENDER & TRAVEL PARTY OF ATTENDEES

Age Group

- 18 - 24: 6%
- 25 - 34: 16%
- 35 - 44: 19%
- 45 - 54: 26%
- 55 - 64: 20%
- 65+: 12%

Gender

- Women: 35%
- Men: 65%

Travel Party

- Friends/Relatives (no kids): 44%
- Friends/Relatives (with kids): 19%
- Partner: 16%
- Colleagues: 10%
- Family: 5%
- Myself: 4%

More than half (58%) were 45 or over; 65% were male; 63% came with a group or friends and/or relatives.
Just over \( \frac{1}{4} \) (26%) of visitors to Darwin who attended the Darwin Cup weekend races stayed at a hotel whilst a further 21% stayed at a friend or relative’s house. Apartments were also another popular choice (20%). A small proportion stayed at their own home (4%).

Almost half entered the Turf Club via a general ticket (49%), 26% had Member’s access, whilst 16% had a member’s access pass.
Hotel occupancy rates peaked at 96%. Occupancy rates dropped on Saturday and Sunday compared to previous years.
The average cost per room peaked at $299 per night on Monday (Cup Day). This is the highest rate of 2014.
45% *would* have come to Darwin this anyway in 2014

55% *would not* have come to Darwin this anyway in 2014

17% made an additional trip for the Darwin Cup

28% combined the Darwin Cup with intended trip

7% extended their stay for the Darwin Cup

20% did not extend their stay for the Darwin Cup

**Total incremental nights stayed = 13,308**

Average nights stayed = 7.0
Estimated nights = 9,463

Average nights stayed = 7.2
Estimated nights = 2,989

Average extra nights stayed = 4.9
(Total nights stayed = 13.1)
Estimated extra nights = 856

Average nights stayed = 10.0
Whilst it was the first Cup for 46%, more than half had previously attended the Darwin Cup, (54%) – an average 2.5 times. Visitors from SA had attended the most (3.0), followed by Vic (2.8).
Most people had heard about the Darwin cup through informal channels – either they had prior knowledge (46%) or through general word of mouth (43%). Only a small proportion mentioned marketing channels. This was the case, even for those who had not attended the Darwin Cup before. Broadening the marketing reach may help to boost visitor numbers to Darwin Cup.
On the whole, visitors to the Darwin Cup indicated high levels of satisfaction with 89% scoring it either 4 or 5 out of 5; 93% would also recommend the Darwin Cup to others; 90% said they were likely return to Darwin and 67% said they were likely to re-attend the Darwin Cup.
Overall, most visitors reported that finding accommodation was relatively easy with 69% stating it was easy. However, 23% also reported finding accommodation was difficult (24% each for hotels and hostels, lower for apartments – 12%).
**ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES**

- **Mindil Beach Markets** – 59%
- **Darwin Waterfront Precinct** – 55%
- **Fishing** – 37%
- **Litchfield** – 36%
- **Museum / Art Gallery** – 22%
- **Kakadu** – 22%
- **Darwin Harbour Cruise** – 21%
- **Crocosaurus Cove** – 18%
- **Crocodylus Park** – 15%
- **Defence of Darwin** – 15%
- **Deckchair** – 11%
- **Nitmiluk** – 8%
- **Other** – 12%
- **Just the Cup** – 12%

Average no. of additional activities = 3.4

Croc parks also attracted visitors with 28% attending either Crocosaurus Cove or Crocodylus Park (8% attended both).

A small proportion could be influenced to do more.
Meals were what visitors spent the most on, followed by accommodation. Other expenditure (including general shopping) also made up a significant proportion of spent.

*Airfares not included in calculation for total spend*
**AVERAGE SPEND PER PERSON AND TICKET TYPE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ticket Type</th>
<th>Average Spend</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Admission</td>
<td>$1,965</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marquees</td>
<td>$2,166</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Complimentary</td>
<td>$2,263</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Members Access</td>
<td>$2,599</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International</td>
<td>$1,772</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstate</td>
<td>$2,191</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensee</td>
<td>$5,310</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ticket types</td>
<td>$2,244</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having a member’s access ticket appears to have a casual relationship to overall spend. Those who splurge on this appear to be more inclined to spend elsewhere. With 49% purchasing general admission tickets, ‘Up-sell’ strategies may further boost spend.